PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference S04P0828WO00 | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/JP2004/008947 | International filing date (day/month/year) 18 June 2004 (18.06.2004) | Priority date (day/month/year) 20 June 2003 (20.06.2003) | | |
| International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237 | | | | |
| Applicant SONY CORPORATION | | | | |

| 1. | This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a). | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | | |
| | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. | | | | | |
| 3. | This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | | |
| | Box No. I | Basis of the report | | | | |
| | Вох №. П | Priority | | | | |
| | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | | |
| | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application | | | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4. | 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006) |
|---|---|
| The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland | Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara |
| Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 | Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90 |

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From | | NAL SEARCH | ING AUTHOR | RITY | | ANS | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| To: | | | | | | PCT | |
| | | | | | | RITTEN OPINION OF THE CIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | |
| | | | | | | (PCT Rule 43bis.1) | |
| | | | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | | |
| Applie | cant's or a | agent's file referei | nce | | FOR FURTHER | ACTION | |
| S0 | 4P08 | 28W000 | | | | See paragraph 2 below | |
| Intern | ational ap | oplication No. | | International filing date | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) | |
| PC | T/JP | 2004/008 | 947 | 18.06.2004 | | 20.06.2003 | |
| Intern | ational Pa | atent Classification | n (IPC) or both | national classification an | d IPC | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Applie | | | | | | | |
| Applic | | ORPORATI | ON | | | | |
| | | | 01. | | | | |
| | | | | | | *** | |
| 1. | | ppinion contains i | ndications relat | ing to the following items | : | | |
| | \boxtimes | Box No. I | Basis of the | opinion | | | |
| | | Box No. II | Priority | | | | |
| | 님 | Box No. III | Non-establis | hment of opinion with reg | ard to novelty, inventi | ve step and industrial applicability | |
| | | Box No. IV | _ | y of invention | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expli | | | tement under Rule 43bis.; citations and explanation | (3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial nations supporting such statement | | | |
| | 님 | Box No. VI | Certain docu | ments cited | | | |
| | | Box No. VII | Certain defec | ats in the international app | lication | | |
| | | Box No. VIII | Certain obser | rvations on the internation | al application | 10 | |
| 2. | FURT | HER ACTION | | | | | |
| | Internation than the | ational Preliminar his one to be the | ry Examining A IPEA and the c | Nuthority ("IPEA") except | that this does not app | be considered to be a written opinion of the ly where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of | |
| | writter | n reply logether, | where appropr | considered to be a writter riate, with amendments, l of 22 months from the price | before the expiration | the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form | |
| | | rther options, see | | | y oute, which ever c | mprice rate. | |
| 3. | For fu | rther details, see r | notes to Form P | CT/ISA/220. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Name a | ınd maili | ng address of the | IS A/JP | | Authorized officer | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | |
| facsimi | le No | | | | T-I1 N | | |

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008947

| Box | x No. I | Basis of this opinion |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 1. | With | regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language |
| | - <u> </u> | Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | With inver | regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| | a. | type of material |
| | | a sequence listing |
| | | table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| | ь. | format of material |
| | ļ | in written format |
| | ļ | in computer readable form |
| | c. | time of filing/furnishing |
| | 1 | contained in the international application as filed. |
| | l I | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| | t | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Additi | ional comments: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008947

| Box | No. V | Reasoned stateme citations and expl | nt under R anations su | ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement | |
|-----|-----------|--|---------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. | Statement | | | | |
| | Novelty | (N) | Claims | 104, 6-11 | YES |
| | | | Claims | 5 | NO |
| | Inventiv | re step (IS) | Claims | 1-4, 9-11 | YES |
| | | | Claims | 5-8 | NO |
| | Industria | al applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-11 | YES |
| | | | Claims | | NO |
| | | | | | |

2. Citations and explanations:

Claim 5

Document 1: JP 11-219284 A (Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.) 10 August 1999, Full text; Figs. 1-7 (Family: none)

In document 1 (Fig. 4), a "version update data" of a plurality of versions is stored and the respective "version update data" also contains "update information" of after the time when older "version update data" was created (in other words, when the update was applied). In document 1 (Fig. 4), the "version update data" is created by a "server" and distributed via "LAN line 70".

The combination of "version information" and "version update data" of document 1 (Fig. 4) corresponds to "software update data" of claim 5; "version update data" corresponds to "update information" of claim 5; and "server" and "LAN line 70" of document 1 corresponds to "software creation means" and "software distribution means" of claim 5 respectively.

Therefore, the novelty of claim 5 is refuted by the existence of document 1.

Claim 6

Referring to document 1 (column 0005), "a method such that software is replaced with entirely new software" as a version upgrade method" and a method using the "difference" are described as being conventional methods. The former corresponds to "version update data" of claim 6 and the latter corresponds to "update data" of claim 6.

Enabling either of the above two version update methods conventionally performed as specified methods of "version upgrade data" of document 1 (Fig. 4), would be obvious to a person skilled in the art. Regarding the other points, the same can be said as stated above for claim 5. Therefore, claim 6 does not involve an inventive step.

(Continued)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008947

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- (1) In the specification, "update data" and "version update data" are categorized and handled as different.
- (2) "Software update data" corresponds to "update data" of the specification in the description in claim 1 of "update data following the time of application in other software update data to software update data is included and provided".
- (3) "Software update data" corresponds to "version update data" of the specification in the description in claim 1 of "if update information is stored with respect to the relevant software update data".
- (4) In other words, "update data" and "version update data" in the specification are treated as different; however, claim 1 uses the same "software update data" together in claim 1.
- (5) Therefore, in addition to the description of claim 1 not being clear, the description of the specification and corresponding relationship is also unclear; therefore, the description of claim 1 is not sufficiently supported by the specification.
- (6) Claims 3, 4, 9 and 11 are also insufficient for the same reasons as (1)-(5) above.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008947

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: $Box\ V$

Claim 7

Document 2: Yuhei IWASAKI, "LAN Gaku Chonyumonho", Computer & Network LAN, 01 October 1997, Vol. 15, No. 10, pages 31-35 ISSN: 0913-560X, particularly, page 33, descriptions relating to "Dynamic routing"

Document 2 (page 33, left column, line 16-right column on same page, line 18; Fig. 3) discloses the well known method of dynamic routing, and it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art that if this method is used, files and packets would be transferred via different paths respectively. And, enabling use of a LAN having this kind of well known dynamic routing function as "LAN line 70" of document 1 would be obvious for a person skilled in the art. For the other points, the same is true as for claim 5. Therefore, claim 7 does not appear to involve an inventive step.

Claim 8

Regarding claim 8, the same is true for claims 6 and 7 stated above.

Claim 1

Document 3: JP 2000-353096 A (NEC Corp.), 19 December 2000, columns 0002, 0003, 0016-0023 (Family: none)

Document 3 discloses, of blocks required to transfer as differences with respect to a server (central station 1) to a client when updating a program version having a client (base station 2) to a different version, an invention such that blocks the client already has are not transferred. However, documents 1-3 neither describe nor suggest a configuration wherein "update data following the time of application in other software update data to software update data is included and provided", "in addition to saving the update data contained in the relevant software update data, the software containing the relevant update data is overwritten if update information is stored in the relevant software update data" of claim 1.

Claims 2-4 and 9-11

For the same reasons as with claim 1, claims 2-4 and 9-11 appear to involve novelty and possess an inventive step.